## Case 1:22-cr-00081 PIF-SR STATES DISTRICT: IEO PROT/22 Page 1 of 3

## EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 1:22-CR-0081 JLT SKO
Plaintiff,	
V.	DETENTION ORDER
XAVIER ANTHONY RINCON,	
Defendant.	
A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing pursuant to 18 above-named defendant detained pursuant to 18 U.S.	U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders the .C. § 3142(e) and (i).
assure the appearance of the defendant as requ	ondition or combination of conditions will reasonably nired.  dition or combination of conditions will reasonably
Pretrial Services Report, and includes the following:    X	harged: distribute controlled substance (2 counts) and felon in are serious crimes and carry maximum penalty of life(10 years).  g. t of controlled substances. endant is high. hadant including: have a mental condition which may affect whether the own family ties in the area. own steady employment. own substantial financial resources. ng time resident of the community. have any known significant community ties. hadant: ory relating to drug abuse.

(b) whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court,
At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:
X   Probation
Parole
Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
(c) Other Factors:
The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
Other: numerous probation violations, revocation, felony post release community supervision violations, FTA bench warrants, subject to probation at time of offenses, active Fresno Bulldog criminal street gang member, no suitable third party or bond
package  (4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows: nature and
circumstances of current offense, extensive and ongoing criminal record, prior probation revocations and parole violations and PRCS violations, history of committing offenses while on supervision, active Bulldog criminal street gang.
(5) Rebuttable Presumptions
In determining that the defendant should be detained, the court also relied on the following
rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e), which the court finds the
defendant has not rebutted:
x a. The crime charged is one described in § 3142(f)(1).
X (A) a crime of violence; or
(B) an offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or
(C) a controlled substance violation that has a maximum penalty of ten years or
more; or
(D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (A) through (C) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction of one of the crimes mentioned in (A) through (C) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release
b. There is probable cause to believe that defendant committed an offense for which a
maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed
in the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801, et seq.,
the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 951, et seq.,
the Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act, 46 U.S.C. App. §§ 1901, et seq., or
an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.
an offense involving a minor under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
D. <u>Additional Directives</u>
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:
The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility
separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;  The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in
charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for
the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: November 7, 2022 /s/ Barbara A. McAulille

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE